

Premarket Approval (PMA) Submissions Workshop

AdvaMed Office 1301 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W. Suite 400 Washington, D.C. February 6 – 7, 2025

<u>Feb 6, 2025</u>	
8:30 – 9:00 am	Registration Check-In and Continental Breakfast
9:00 – 9:05 am	Welcome and Introductions
9:05 – 10:00 am	 Beginning at the Beginning Elaine Tseng, Partner, King & Spaulding When is a De Novo or PMA required PMA: what to expect What are the standards of evidence What are the standards of review Will submission go to panel How much will it cost How long will it take to get approval
10:00 – 10:45 am	 Development of a PMA Submission Strategy Nam To, Policy Analyst, FDA Product definition Development of testing requirements and strategy Desired patient population Desired claims Early interactions with FDA Planning for product iterations
10:45 – 11:00 am	Break Mechanics of PMA Quality System Submission Development and Review Jhumur Banik, Team Lead, Biomedical Engineer; PMA, HDE, Q-Submission and Device Tracking Lifecyle (PHQ) Team, Division of Submission Support (DRP1), Office of Regulatory Programs (ORP), CDRH, FDA • Defining data requirements
11:00 – 12:00 pm	 Required elements Presentation of information with clarity Expectations during review

Best practices

•

- Manufacturing & Quality Systems
- Case for Quality

Important Notice

The information provided in this course represents the personal opinions of the instructors and does not necessarily represent the opinions of AdvaMed staff. Companies relying on the information do so at their own risk and assume the risk of any subsequent liability that results from relying on the information. The information does not constitute legal advice.



12:00 – 1:00 pm	Networking Lunch
1:00 – 2:00 pm	 During Submission Review Jennifer Bolton, Senior Fellow, Regulatory Affairs, Boston Scientific Interactions with the FDA When/How to expect questions Types of letters Timelines Day 100 meetings Labeling review
2:00 – 3:00 pm	 Conditions of Approval Studies Jennifer Bolton, Senior Fellow, Regulatory Affairs, Boston Scientific Criteria and objectives Early collaboration with FDA Reaching agreement Reporting outcomes 522 Studies
3:00 – 3:15 pm	Break
3:15 – 4:15 pm	 Preparation for Advisory Panels Jessica Ringel, Partner, King & Spalding When? Who are the panel members? Why have a panel meeting? Preparation for a panel meeting What to expect before, during, and after Best practices

Important Notice The information provided in this course represents the personal opinions of the instructors and does not necessarily represent the opinions of AdvaMed staff. Companies relying on the information do so at their own risk and assume the risk of any subsequent liability that results from relying on the information. The information does not constitute legal advice.



Feb. 7, 2025

8:30 – 9:00 am	Continental Breakfast
9:00 – 10:00 am	 Inspection Activity Jacob Dyer, Senior Regulatory Officer, FDA Pre-approval inspections How to prepare for an inspection
10:00 – 11:00 am	 Dealing with the Unexpected Tony Blank, Senior Director of Regulatory Affair, AtriCure Clinical outcomes Animal test results Adverse panel recommendation
11:00 – 11:15 am	Break
11:15 am – 12:30 pm	 The Care and Feeding of Approved PMAs Monica Montanez, Principal Strategy Consultant, NAMSA Periodic ("Annual") Reports Supplemental Submissions 30-day notices
12:30 – 1:30 pm	Networking Lunch
1:30 – 2:15 pm	 CDRH Ombudsman's Office Ken Skodacek, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Deputy Ombudsman, FDA Roles & Responsibilities The Appeals Process
2:15 – 2:30 pm	Break
2:30 – 4:30 pm	 Applied Learning and Breakout Discussions Tony Blank, Senior Director of Regulatory Affair, AtriCure *In person participants, only PMA Recap Facilitated Breakout Group Deep Dive Hypothetical Case Studies Key Takeaways Regroup for Final Program Q&A
4:30 pm	Adjournment

Important Notice The information provided in this course represents the personal opinions of the instructors and does not necessarily represent the opinions of AdvaMed staff. Companies relying on the information do so at their own risk and assume the risk of any subsequent liability that results from relying on the information. The information does not constitute legal advice.